	· 1	
IP	PATENT	PAT-005
Naw 5 g	IN THE UNITED STATES PATE	ENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SATEME.	the Application of:	
STEW & TR)	Group Art Unit: 2163
	Cook, et al.	•
)	Examiner: Irshadullah, M.
	Serial No.: 09/437,833	
10	Filed: November 9, 1999)	
	For: EMPLOYEE SCHEDULING AND)	Attorney Docket No.: PAT-005
	SCHEDULE MODIFICATION)	•
	METHOD AND APPARATUS)	
15)	משלא לאלי אינו
•		RECEIVED
	AFFIDAVIT UN	DER 37 CFR 1.131 MAY - 3 2004
•		
20	II Compiler Competents	GROUP 3600
	Honorable Commissioner for Patents Box AF	
	PO Box 1450	
	Alexandria. VA 22313-1450	

Sir or Madam:

25

Mark J. Pettay, Jacqueline L. Reeves, and Jill M. Vacek, being duly deposed and sworn, depose and say:

- We are the inventors of the subject matter described and claimed in the above-identified patent application. The inventorship of the above-identified application was corrected via a petition mailed by applicants to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on or about 11 October 2002. In this petition, Mark J. Pettay was added as a listed inventor, and C. Todd Cook was deleted as a listed inventor. Mr. Cook had served as a point of contact for our patent attorneys in preparing this application, as indicated by some of the Exhibits enclosed herewith.
 - 2. Prior to 21 October 1999, we conceived the concept of scheduling employees and supporting modification of such employee schedules was, as described and claimed in the above-identified patent application. On or about 17 August 1999, in response to a preliminary draft of the above-identified application prepared by our patent attorneys to cover the above concept, Mr.
- 40 Cook prepared the enclosed flowcharts (Exhibits A-E). These flowcharts depict process flow

PATENT PAT-005

provided by various aspects of the subject matter described and claimed in the above-identified application. Turning to these Exhibits A-E in more detail, the flowcharts shown therein provided substantial basis for certain of the drawing figures as originally filed in the above-identified patent application, as follows:

- the flowchart shown as Exhibit A provided substantial basis for Figure 9 as originally filed;
 - the flowchart shown as Exhibit B provided substantial basis for Figure 10 as originally filed;
 - the flowchart shown as Exhibit C provided substantial basis for Figure 11 as originally filed;
 - the flowchart shown as Exhibit D provided substantial basis for Figure 12 as originally filed; and
 - the flowchart shown as Exhibit E provided substantial basis for Figure 13 as originally filed.
- 3. Our patent attorneys revised the preliminary draft of the above-identified patent application, based at least in part on the above flowcharts, and forwarded a revised draft to us for review by letter dated 21 September 1999, addressed to C. Todd Cook. A copy of this letter is enclosed as Exhibit F.
 - 4. Progress toward finalizing the above-identified patent application for filing continued diligently throughout the month of October 1999 and into early November 1999, until our patent attorneys were given final approval to file the above-identified application, as referenced in the letter dated 3 November 1999, enclosed herewith as Exhibit G.
 - 5. The above-identified application was filed with the USPTO on 9 November 1999.

25

20

5

10

Mark J. Pettav

Jacqueline L. Reeves

Jill M Vacek

State of Nebraska) S.S.

County of Douslas)

5

Sworn to and subscribed before me

this 26^{th} day of April, 2004.

GENERAL NOTARY - State of Nebraska BEVERLY J. JONES My Comm. Exp. Dec. 10, 2005